

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		U. W.		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,092	11/13/2003	Yohei Yamamoto	245301US2	7287
22850 7	7590 11/02/2006		EXAMINER	
	CCLELLAND	WINTER, JOHN M		
OBLON, SPIV	'AK, MCCLELLAND,	MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1940 DUKE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314	•	3621	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/706,092	YAMAMOTO, YOHI	EI			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	· <u></u>	John M. Winter	3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INCHEVE INCHEVE IN A STATE IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING INCHEVE IN THE MAILING IN T	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	13 November 2003.					
2a)[This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	•	:				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	₹ 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•.			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	, •	n received in this National S	tage			
	application from the International E	, ,,,					
- S	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	it received.				
		•					
Attachmen	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	•			
	e of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1O-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Informal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date 10/5/2004, 2/13/2004.	6) Other:	·				

Application/Control Number: 10/706,092

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-44 are pending

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christiano (US Patent 5,671,412) in view of Coley et al. (US Patent 5,790,664)

As per claim 1

Christiano ('412) discloses a license management apparatus for managing a license associated with a service that is provided from service offer means to service use means, the license management apparatus comprising:

license management means for managing the license; (Column 3, lines 20-34)

license acquisition request receiving means for receiving an acquisition request for the license from said service offer means; (Column 4, lines 35-46)

Christiano ('412) does not explicitly disclose license sending means for sending the license to said service offer means in response to the acquisition request for the license Coley et al. ('790) discloses license sending means for sending the license to said service offer means in response to the acquisition request for the license (Figure 2) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Christiano ('412)'s system with Coley et al. ('790)'s teaching in order to automatically track software usage by tracking the distribution of licenses

Claims 12, 23 and 34 are in parallel with claim 1 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 2,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 1, further comprising license counting means for counting a value associated with the license. (Figure 7, column 9, lines 40-64)

Claims 13, 24 and 35 are in parallel with claim 2 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 3,

Application/Control Number: 10/706,092

Art Unit: 3621

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 2, wherein said license counting means counts the value when the license is acquired from authentication means that issues the license. (Figure 7, column 9, lines 40-64)

Claims 14, 25 and 36 are in parallel with claim 3 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 4,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 2, wherein said license counting means counts the value when the license is sent to said service offer means in response to the acquisition request for the license. (column 10, lines 34-48)

Claims 15, 26 and 37 are in parallel with claim 4 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 5,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the acquisition request for the license includes a license identifier that identifies the license.(Figure 11)

Claims 16, 27 and 38 are in parallel with claim 5 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

. As per claim 6,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein said license management means manages the license and the license identifier that identifies the licene by relating to each other. (column 10, lines 25-33)

Claims 17, 28 and 39 are in parallel with claim 6 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 7,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 1, further comprising license identifier acquisition request receiving means for receiving an acquisition request for the license identifier that identifies the license. (Figure 11, column 6, lines 12-18)

Claims 18, 29 and 40 are in parallel with claim 7 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 8,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 1, further comprising license identifier sending means for sending the license identifier to a requesting means in response to the acquisition request for the license identifier that identifies

Application/Control Number: 10/706,092

Art Unit: 3621

the license. (Figure 11, column 6, lines 12-18)

Claims 19, 30 and 41 are in parallel with claim 8 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 9,

Christiano ('412) discloses a service offer apparatus comprising service offer means for providing a service to service use means, wherein said service offer means comprises:

license acquisition request sending means for sending an acquisition request for the license associated with the service to license managing means for managing the license; (Column 4, lines 35-46)

Christiano ('412) does not explicitly disclose license receiving means for receiving the license from said license management means. Coley et al. ('790) discloses license receiving means for receiving the license from said license management means (Figure 2) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Christiano ('412)'s system with Coley et al. ('790)'s teaching in order to automatically track software usage by tracking the distribution of licenses

Claims 20, 31 and 42 are in parallel with claim 9 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 10,

Christiano ('412) discloses the service offer apparatus as claimed in claim 9, wherein the acquisition request for the license contains a license identifier that identifies the license. (Figure 11)

Claims 21, 32 and 43 are in parallel with claim 10 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 11,

Christiano ('412) discloses the service offer apparatus as claimed in claim 9, further comprising license sending means for sending the license acquired from said license management means to said license management means. (Figure 11, column 6, lines 12-18)

Claims 22, 33 and 44 are in parallel with claim 11 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references

Art Unit: 3621

in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Winter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6713. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John Winter

Patent Examiner -- 3621

ANDREW J. FISCHEH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600